

LUD 5256.4 DIV (09903230)

<u>CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL</u>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via teletype pursuant to 37 CFR 1.8 to <u>Group 1645, Examiner Jana HINES</u> of the United States Patent & Trademark Office at <u>(703) 308-4242</u> on February 4, 2004.	
Fani Malikovakis (Name of Transmitter)	<u>Fani Malikovakis</u> (Signature)

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****FEB 06 2004****OFFICIAL****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Applicant : Ian Hiles, et al.
Serial No. : 09/325,095
Filed : June 3, 1999
For : METHODS FOR DETERMINING EXPRESSION OF
A P13 KINASE GENE
Art Unit : 1645
Examiner : Jana Hines

February 4, 2004

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REQUEST FOR RESTARTED TIME PERIOD
AND CLARIFICATION**

Sir:

In the Office Action dated January 27, 2004, the Examiner has stated, on the front page of the action, that the drawings submitted on April 9, 2003 are objected to.

On the first page of the action, the Examiner states:

"The drawings (were) received on April 9, 2003 are acceptable."

If they are acceptable, how can they be objected to?


LUD 5256.4 DIV (09903230)

Further, the Examiner has included a copy of a draftsman's objection, raised to drawings filed on June 3, 1999. Since the April 9, 2003 drawings are not referred to, this confuses the situation further.

Clarification and a resetting of the response period are called for.

Respectfully submitted,

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, L.L.P.


Norman D. Hanson, Esq.
Registration No. 30,946

666 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10103
212-318-3168
212-318-3400 (fax)

